# U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

WORKING PAPER SERIES



REPORT
ON
JOHNSON RESERVOIR
DAWSON AND GOSPER COUNTIES
NEBRASKA
EPA REGION VII
WORKING PAPER No. 558

CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON and ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPORT
ON
JOHNSON RESERVOIR
DAWSON AND GOSPER COUNTIES
NEBRASKA
EPA REGION VII
WORKING PAPER No. 558

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE

NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

AND THE

NEBRASKA NATIONAL GUARD

AUGUST, 1976

# CONTENTS

		Page			
For	reword	ii			
Lis	List of Nebraska Study Reservoirs				
Lak	ke and Drainage Area Map	V			
Sec	tions				
I.	Conclusions	1			
II.	Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	3			
III.	Lake Water Quality Summary	4			
IV.	Nutrient Loadings	8			
٧.	Literature Reviewed	12			
VI.	Appendices	13			

### <u>FOREWORD</u>

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nation-wide threat of accelerated eutrophication to freshwater lakes and reservoirs.

### OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

### ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

- a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.
- b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.
- c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

### LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and water-shed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's freshwater lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Nebraska Department of Environmental Control for professional involvement, to the Nebraska National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey, and to those wastewater treatment plant operators who voluntarily provided effluent samples and flow data.

The staff of the Water Pollution Control Division, Department of Environmental Control, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General Francis L. Winner, the Adjutant General of Nebraska, and Project Officer Colonel Burl M. Johnson, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Nebraska National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

### NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

# STATE OF NEBRASKA


### RESERVOIR NAME

Branched Oak
Harlan County
Harry D. Strunk
Hugh Butler
Johnson
McConaughy
Pawnee
Sherman
Swanson

### COUNTY

Lancaster
Harlan
Frontier
Frontier, Red Willow
Dawson, Gosper
Keith
Lancaster
Sherman
Hitchcock

1



#### JOHNSON RESERVOIR

#### STORET NO. 3105

### I. CONCLUSIONS

### A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate Johnson Reservoir is eutrophic. It ranked eighth in overall trophic quality when the nine Nebraska water bodies sampled in 1974 were compared using a combination of six parameters\*. Seven of the water bodies had less median total phosphorus, one had less median dissolved phosphorus, five had less median inorganic nitrogen, seven had less mean chlorophyll a, and all of the other water bodies had greater mean Secchi disc transparency.

### B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results show that Johnson Reservoir was phosphorus limited at the times the samples were collected (March and September, 1974). The reservoir data also indicate phosphorus limitation.

### C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--No known municipal point sources impacted Johnson Reservoir during the sampling year; however, septic tanks serving shoreline dwellings were estimated to have contributed 0.2% of the total phosphorus load to the reservoir.

<sup>\*</sup> See Appendix A.

The present phosphorus loading of  $6.72 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{yr}$  is nearly four times that proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974) as a eutrophic loading (see page 11).

2. Non-point sources--The Tri County Supply Canal contributed an estimated 99.5% of the total phosphorus loading to Johnson Reservoir during the sampling year.

The drainage area (watershed) of Johnson Reservoir is shown as 0.0 km<sup>2</sup> because it is an off-channel pumped-storage reservoir used primarily for power production, irrigation, and recreation. Irrigation return flows probably would be high in nutrients, and any significant reduction of the phosphorus input to Johnson Lake would involve removal of phosphorus in these flows.

# II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS<sup>†</sup>

# A. Lake Morphometry<sup>††</sup>:

1. Surface area: 11.53 kilometers<sup>2</sup>.

2. Mean depth: 6.1 meters.

3. Maximum depth: 18.0 meters.

4. Volume:  $70.333 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ .

5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 27 days (based on outflow).

### B. Tributary and Outlet: (See Appendix C for flow data)

1. Tributaries -

	Name	Drainage area (km²)*	Mean flow (m³/sec)*
	Tri County Supply Canal Minor tributaries &	0.0	33.37
	immediate drainage -	<u>0.0</u>	0.0
	Totals	0.0	33.37
2.	Outlet -		
	Tri County Supply Canal	0.0	29.65

### C. Precipitation\*\*\*:

- 1. Year of sampling: 52.3 centimeters.
- 2. Mean annual: 54.4 centimeters.

<sup>†</sup> Table of metric conversions--Appendix B.

<sup>++</sup> Hartung, 1974; Adamovich, 1975.

<sup>\*</sup> Pumped storage (Robertson, 1976).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes area of reservoir.
\*\*\* See Working Paper No. 175.

### III. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Johnson Reservoir was sampled three times during the openwater season of 1974 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from a number of depths at one station on the reservoir (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m to surface) sample was collected for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and a similar sample was collected for chlorophyll a analysis. During the March and September visits, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was taken for algal assays. The maximum depth sampled was 9.1 meters.

The sampling results are presented in full in Appendix D and are summarized in the following table (the July nutrient samples were not properly preserved and were not analyzed).

# A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR JOHNSON RESERVOIR STORET CODE 3105

2ND SAMPLING ( 7/ 1/74) 3RD SAMPLING ( 9/30/74) 1ST SAMPLING ( 4/16/74) 1 SITES 1 SITES 1 SITES **PARAMETER** RANGE MEAN MEDIAN RANGE MEAN MEDIAN RANGE MEAN MEDIAN TEMP (C) 7.8 -8.5 21.9 - 22.1 22.0 22.0 14.7 - 14.7 14.7 14.7 8.2 8.3 DISS OXY (MG/L) 10.6 - 11.4 11.1 11.4 6.4 -8.8 7.2 6.8 8.4 -8.8 8.6 8.7 CNDCTVY (MCROMO) 719. - 729. 725. 727. 897. - 903. 900. 900. 629. -722. 653. 630. PH (STAND UNITS) 8.4 -8.5 8.5 8.5 8.4 8.3 8.5 -8.6 8.5 8.5 8.4 TOT ALK (MG/L) 178. - 179. 179. 179. 173. - 176. 175. 175. TOT P (MG/L) 0.100 - 0.1130.106 0.106 0.044 - 0.051 0.048 0.049 ORTHO P (MG/L) 0.009 - 0.010 0.009 0.009 0.008 - 0.014 0.010 0.008 NO2+NO3 (MG/L) 0.510 0.500 - 0.520 0.510 0.060 - 0.070 0.065 0.065 AMMONIA (MG/L) 0.035 0.070 - 0.080 0.075 0.075 0.030 - 0.040 0.035 0.600 KJEL N (MG/L) 0.850 0.800 0.500 - 0.600 0.575 0.700 - 1.100INORG N (MG/L) 0.530 - 0.550 0.545 0.550 0.130 - 0.150 0.140 0.140 TOTAL N (MG/L) 1.210 - 1.610 1.360 1.310 ------0.560 - 0.670 0.640 0.665 CHLRPYL A (UG/L) 42.8 - 42.8 42.8 42.8 9.8 ~ 9.8 9.8 9.8 25.8 -25.8 25.8 25.8 SECCHI (METERS) 0.5 -0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 -0.6 0.6 -0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6

# B. Biological characteristics:

# 1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date		ninant nera	Algal Units per ml
04/16/74	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Stephanodiscus sp. Asterionella sp. Diatoma sp. Chlamydomonas sp. Surirella sp. Other genera	23,760 921 614 537 499 2,112
		Total	28,443
07/01/74	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Fragilaria sp. Stephanodiscus sp. Melosira sp. Aphanizomenon sp. Synedra sp. Other genera	2,346 739 304 261 217 651
		Total	4,518
09/30/74	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Melosira sp. Stephanodiscus sp. Aphanizomenon sp. Oscillatoria sp. Anabaena sp. Other genera	2,232 536 476 357 208 1,160
		Total	4,969

# 2. Chlorophyll $\underline{a}$ -

Sampling Date	Station Number	Chlorophyll <u>a</u> $(\mu g/1)$
04/16/74	. 1	42.8
07/01/74	1	9.8
09/30/74	1	25.8

### C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

- 1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked
  - a. April sample -

Spike (mg/l)	Ortho P	Inorganic N	Maximum yield
	Conc. (mg/l)	Conc. (mg/l)	(mg/l-dry wt.)
Control	0.035	0.533	8.6
0.005 P	0.085	0.533	11.7
0.050 P + 1.0 N	0.085	1.533	13.5
1.0 N	0.035	1.533	7.6

### b. September sample -

Spike (mg/1)	Ortho P Conc. (mg/l)	Inorganic N Conc. (mg/l)	Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)
Control	0.005	0.122	0.2
0.050 P	0.055	0.122	4.3
0.050 P + 1.0 N	0.055	1.122	9.6
1.0 N	0.005	1.122	0.2

### 2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, <u>Selenastrum capri-cornutum</u>, indicates that the potential primary productivity of Johnson Lake was high at the time the first assay sample was collected (04/16/74) but was moderate at the time the second sample was taken (09/30/74).

The algal assay results also show that Johnson Lake was phosphorus limited at both sampling times. The addition of orthophosphorus alone or in combination with nitrogen resulted in significantly increased yields while the addition of nitrogen alone did not.

The mean inorganic nitrogen/orthophosphorus ratios also are indicative of phosphorus limitation; i.e., the N/P ratios were 60/1 in April and 14/1 in September.

# IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS (See Appendix E for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Nebraska National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page v), except for the months of June and August when two samples were collected. Sampling was begun in August, 1974, and was completed in August, 1975.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Nebraska District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the reservoir.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings\*.

<sup>\*</sup> See Working Paper No. 175.

### A. Waste Sources:

1. Known municipal -

Name	Pop. <u>Served</u>	Treatment	Mean Flow (m³/d)	Receiving Water
Johnson Lake	?	stab. pond	?	no discharge*

- 2. Known industrial None
- B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading Average Year:
  - 1. Inputs -

Source	kg P/ yr	% of total
a. Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
Tri County Supply Canal	77,095	99.5
<ul><li>b. Minor tributaries &amp; immediate drainage (non-point load) -</li></ul>		-
c. Known municipal STP's - None	-	-
d. Septic tanks** -	165	0.2
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation*** -	200	0.3
Total	77,460	100.0
Outputs -		
Lake outlet - Tri County Supply Canal	52,295	

3. Net annual P accumulation - 25,165 kg.

2.

<sup>\*</sup> Robertson, 1976. \*\* Estimate based on 574 shoreline dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175. \*\*\* See Working Paper No. 175.

### C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

	Sou	<u>rce</u>	kg N/ yr	% of total
	a.	Tributaries (non-point load)	-	
		Tri County Supply Canal	1,604,345	98.8
	b.	Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -		· -
	c.	Known municipal STP's - None	-	-
	d.	Septic tanks* -	6,115	0.4
	e.	Known industrial - None	-	-
	f.	Direct precipitation** -	12,450	0.8
		Total	1,622,910	100.0
2.	Out	puts -		
	Lak	e outlet - Tri County Supply Canal	1,172,080	

3. Net annual N accumulation - 450,830 kg.

<sup>\*</sup> Estimate based on 574 shoreline dwellings; see Working Paper No. 175. \*\* See Working Paper No. 175.

### D. Yearly Loads:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loadings are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974). Essentially, his "dangerous" loading is one at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" loading is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic loading would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Note that Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times.

		Phosphorus Accumulated		Nitrogen Accumulated				
grams/m²/yr	6.72	2.18	140.8	39.1				
Vollenweider phosphorus loadings (g/m²/yr) based on mean depth and mean hydraulic retention time of Johnson Reservoir:								
"Dangerous" (eu "Permissible" (	ding) c loading)	1.70 0.85						

### V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

- Adamovich, Ted, 1975. Personal communication (reservoir morphometry). NE Dept. of Env. Contr., Lincoln.
- Hartung, Ray, 1974. Personal communication (reservoir morphometry). NE Dept. of Env. Contr., Lincoln.
- Robertson, James, 1976. Personal communication (waste treatment facilities around Johnson Lake; flow and drainage area). Central NE Pub. Power and Irr. Dist., Holdrege.
- Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research. Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

# VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

### LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN P
3101	BRANCHED OAK	0.044	0.070	456.444	17.033	9.400	0.013
3102	HARLAN COUNTY RESERVOIR	0.112	0.365	476.111	27.822	12.200	0.061
3103	HARRY D. STRUNK (MEDICIN	0.064	0.460	470.500	14.367	14.200	0.009
3104	HUGH BUTLER (RED WILLOW)	0.061	0.090	468.875	16.612	14.400	0.014
3105	JOHNSON RESERVOIR	0.075	0.340	477.667	26.133	8.600	0.009
3106	LAKE MCCONAUGHY	0.027	0.585	409.555	8.644	11.400	0.004
3107	PAWNEE LAKE	0.060	0.175	453.000	15.367	8.800	0.020
3108	SHERMAN COUNTY RESERVOIR	0.067	0.090	451.167	6.717	11.800	0.050
3110	SWANSON RESERVOIR	0.067	0.090	466.333	14.450	11.000	0.016

### PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDI TOTA		MEDIA INORG		500- MEAN S	SEC .	MEAN CHLON		15- MIN D	0	MEUIAN DISS ORTHO P	X 3UNI UN
3101	BRANCHED OAK	88 (	7)	100 (	8)	63 (	5)	25 (	2)	75 (	6)	63 ( 5)	414
3102	HARLAN COUNTY RESERVOIR	0 (	0)	25 (	2)	13 (	1)	0 (	0)	25 (	دج	0 ( 0)	63
3103	HARRY D. STRUNK (MEDICIN	50 (	4)	13 (	1)	25 (	(2)	75 (	6)	13 (	1)	81 ( 6)	257
3104	HUGH BUTLER (RED WILLOW)	63 (	5)	75 (	5)	38 (	3)	38 (	3)	0 (	0)	50 ( 4)	264
3105	JOHNSON RESERVOIR	13 (	1)	38 (	3)	0 (	(0)	13 (	1)	100 (	8)	81 ( 6)	245
3106	LAKE MCCONAUGHY	100 (	8)	0 (	(0)	100	(8)	88 (	7)	50 (	4)	100 ( 8)	438
3107	PAWNEE LAKE	75 (	6)	50 (	( 4)	75 (	( 6)	50 (	4)	88 (	7)	25 ( 2)	363
3108	SHERMAN COUNTY RESERVOIR	38 (	3)	75 (	( 5)	88 (	( 7)	100 (	8)	38 (	3)	13 ( 1)	352
3110	SWANSON RESERVOIR	25 (	2)	75 (	( 5)	50 (	( 4)	63 (	5)	63 (	5)	38 ( 3)	314

### LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	3106	LAKE MCCONAUGHY	438 -
2	3101	BRANCHED OAK	414
3	3107	PAWNEE LAKE	363
4	3108	SHERMAN COUNTY RESERVOIR	352
5	3110	SWANSON RESERVOIR	314
6	3104	HUGH BUTLER (RED WILLOW)	264
7	3103	HARRY D. STRUNK (MEDICIN	257
8	3105	JOHNSON RESERVOIR	245
9	3102	HARLAN COUNTY RESERVOIR	63

APPENDIX B

CONVERSION FACTORS

### **CONVERSION FACTORS**

Hectares x = 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters  $\times 8.107 \times 10^{-4} = acre/feet$ 

Square kilometers x = 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters  $x \ 0.3937 = inches$ 

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

LAKE CODE 3105 JOHNSON LAKE

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE (SQ KM) 0.0

	SUB-DRAINAGE						NORMAL	ZED FLO	#S (CMS)					
TRIBUTARY	AREA (SQ KM)	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	MEAN
3105A1	0.0	28.74	32.42	34.40	32.28	29.48	28.01	29.48	28.57	24.83	28.26	29.48	29.96	29.65
3105A2	0.0	28.63	32.76	34.43	34.49	35.00	34.32	35.88	38.60	32.68	31.06	31.06	31.40	33.37
310522	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 0.0 TOTAL FLOW IN = 756.23
SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS = 0.0 TOTAL FLOW OUT = 756.23

NOTE \*\*\* NO DRAINAGE AREAS. OFF CHANNEL STORAGE. (PUMPED)

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS (CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLO₩	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FL0W
3105A1	8	74	26.53	25	24.10				
	9	74	21.44						
	10	74	24.38	6	25.40				
	11	74	19.45	11	20.93	16	19.20		
	12	74	26.08	8	23.28				
	1	75	25.77	5	22.12				
	2 3 4 5 6 7	75	28.52	9	25.85				
	3	75	30.27	25	26.70				
	4	75	29.56						
	5	75	23.56	18	30.92				
	6	75	34.89	1	29.08	24	48.56		
		75	54.93	6	35.71				
	8 9	75	30.38	4	39.67	24	27.16		
	9	75	27.27	29	22.71				
3105A2	8 9	74	37.43	25	29.31				
		74	30.10						
	10	74	23.98	6	23.28				
	11	74	26.42	11	26.67	16	26.08		
	12	74	28.94	8	26.90				
	l	75	29.17	5	26.56				
	l 2 3	75	29.90	9	26.56				
	3	75	31.66	25	27.38				
	4	75	30.72						
	5	75	30.36	18	32.76				
	6	75	43.89	1	35.68	24	42.62		
	7	75	45.76	6	46.10				
	8	75	39,64	4	47.23	24	36.90		
	9	75	33.13	29	28.32				

### APPENDIX D

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

310501 40 41 00.0 099 55 00.0 JOHNSON RESERVOIR 38009 NEBRASKA

·					11EP/ 3	ALES		1202 FEET DEP	тн	
DATE TIME DEPTH FROM OF TO DAY FEET	WATER TEMP	00300 D0 MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	<b>00400</b> PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N Total MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
74/04/16 15 20 0000 15 20 0005 15 20 0015 15 20 0030	8.5 8.5 8.2 7.8	11.4 11.4 10.6	18	729 728 725 719	8.55 8.50 8.45 8.40	176 175 174 173	0.040 0.030 0.030 0.040	1.100 0.800 0.800 0.700	0.510 0.520 0.500 0.510	0.010 0.009 0.009 0.010
74/07/01 09 25 0000 09 25 0005 09 25 0015 09 25 0025 09 25 0030	22.1 22.0 22.0 22.0 21.9	8.8 6.8 6.8 7.0 6.4	25	903 902 900 900 897	8.20 8.40 8.40 8.30 8.40			·		
74/09/30 09 35 0000 09 35 0005 09 35 0015 09 35 0025	14.7 14.7 14.7 14.7	8.4 8.8 8.6 8.8	24	629 722 629 630	8.50 8.50 8.60 8.50	178 178 179 179	0.070 0.070 0.080 0.080	0.600 0.500 0.600 0.600	0.070 0.060 0.070 0.060	0.014 0.009 0.008 0.008

DATE FROM	TIME DE	006 PTH PHOS-	322 TOT CHLRP-	
TO	DAY FE	ET MG/L	P UG/	L PERCENT
74/04/16	15 20 0	000 0	104 4	2.8
	15 20 0	005 0	.100	
	15 20 0	015 0.	.108	
	15 20 0	0.00	.113	
74/07/01	09 25 0 09 25 0			9.8
74/09/30	09 35 0		.051 2	25.8
• • •	09 35 0		044	
	09 35 0	0015 0.	049	
	09 35 0	0025 0	050	

APPENDIX E

TRIBUTARY DATA

### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/01/27

3105A1
40 41 30.0 099 49 48.0
TRICOUNTY SUPPLY CANAL
31 7.5 JOHNSON LAKE
0/JOHNSON LAKE
SEC RD BRDG .9 M N OF JCT US HWY 283
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

74/10/06       15       40       0.060       0.800       0.045       0.010       0.050         74/11/11       16       40       0.089       0.700       0.035       0.010       0.060         74/11/16       10       15       0.090       0.950       0.050       0.005       0.040         74/12/08       18       00       0.184       1.000       0.035       0.010       0.036         75/01/05       18       30       0.352       1.600       0.072       0.020       0.050         75/03/25       17       30       0.655       1.900       0.042       0.082       0.130         75/05/18       21       00       0.010       0.350       0.030       0.025       0.030         75/06/21       18       30       0.015       1.050       0.318       0.015       0.040         75/06/24       18       30       0.090       0.800       0.185       0.037       0.080	DATE FROM TO	TIME DEPTH OF DAY FEET	00630 NO26NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
75/09/0/ 21 00	74/10/06 74/11/11 74/11/16 74/12/08 75/01/05 75/03/25 75/05/18 75/06/01 75/06/24 75/07/06	15 40 16 40 10 15 18 00 18 30 17 30 21 00 19 30 18 30 15 00	0.060 0.089 0.090 0.184 0.352 0.655 0.010 0.015 0.090	0.800 0.700 0.950 1.000 1.600 1.900 0.350 1.050 0.800	0.045 0.035 0.050 0.035 0.072 0.042 0.030 0.318 0.185 0.080	0.010 0.010 0.005 0.010 0.020 0.082 0.025 0.015 0.037 0.020	0.040 0.050 0.060 0.040 0.030 0.050 0.130 0.030 0.040 0.080

### STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 76/01/27

3105A2
40 41 45.0 099 52 42.0
TRICOUNTY SUPPLY CANAL
31 7.5 ELWOOD
T/JOHNSON LAKE
SEC RD BRDG 3.6 M NW OF JCT US HWY 283
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

		00630	00625	00610	00671	00665
DATE	TIME DEPTH	K0N920N	TOT KJEL	NH3-N	PHOS-DIS	PHOS-TOT
FROM	OF	N-TOTAL	N	TOTAL	ORTHO	
10	DAY FEET	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L	MG/L P	MG/L P
74/08/25	09 30	0.156	0.800	0.030	0.015	0.057
74/10/06	16 00	0.100	1.000	0.025	0.040	0.055
74/11/11	17 00	0.136	0.500	0.025	0.010	0.040
74/11/16	10 35	0.144	1.000	0.035	0.005	0.050
74/12/08	17 30	0.312	1.000	0.092	0.015	0.050
75/01/05	17 45	0.600	2.100	0.456	0.040	0.050
75/03/25	17 30	0.534	2.500	0.040	0.082	0.110
75/05/18	20 30	0.005	1.150	0.020	0.050	0.070
75/06/01	18 30	0.005	1.450	0.220	0.010	0.050
75/06/24	17 30	0.315	2.400	0.270	0.055	0.140
75/07/06	14 00	0.095	0.800	0.130	0.030	0.080
75/08/04	20 00	0.210	1.300	0.057	0.015	0.080
75/08/24	18 00	0.160	1.150	0.040	0.010	0.100